

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,316	11/17/2003	Ciprian Agapi	N0484.70570US00	5087
23628 7590 11/05/2009 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			LERNER, MARTIN	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/715,316	AGAPI ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	MARTIN LERNER	2626		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request

application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filled within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because

  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);

  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
  - appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).

  7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) rejected: 1 to 10 and 30 to 39.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. Dther:

— — /Martin Lerner/

/Martin Lerner/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

#### Continuation of 3 NOTE:

The limitation of preparing a system's audio response for "the plurality of catch events in the interactive voice application by applying the selected catch style to the plurality of catch events' raises new issues requiring further consideration and search. Specifically, Applicants do not appear to have effectively incorporated the distinguishing claim language that was considered during the telephone interview of 15 October 2009. The claim language considered as potentially distinguishing over Marx et al. during the telephone interview involved the concept of a global catch template so that all prompts of a given style can be modified at the same time. Rather, Applicants' After-Final Amendment presents claim language that is still believed to be broad enough to be uppatentable over Marx et al., subject to further consideration. Independent claims 1, 30, and 39 can be interpreted to permit a developer to change each of prompts for a catch event individually without invoking a global catch template to change all existing or future prompts of a given eatch style. During the telephone interview, and as expressly stated in the Interview Summary (Form PTOL-413), the Examiner noted that allowilly could not be indicated definitively until the actual claim language was submitted. Applicants did not submit a proposed claim amendment prior to the telephone interview. It is noted that new claims 40 and 41 do reflect the concepts discussed during the telephone interview. Formally, these claims appear to raise new issues requiring a new search and further consideration; however, even if incorporated into independent claims 1 and 39, upon which they depend, claims 30 to 38 would still need to be cancelled.